Many 2/25/00 58 CERT

## REPORT OF AWP COMPLETION

CALSITES I.	D. #139	70001	···-	(8 characters)	DATE O	F REPORT:_	2/23	3/2000	<del> </del>
SITE NAME:		NAVAL AIR I	FACILITY I	EL CENTRO (N	AFEC)				
Please circle th	ne CalSites Act	tivity Complete	d:	`	ŕ				
BWEBS	CEQA	CERFA	CERT	DCERT	DEED	DES	DISC	DLIST	ERA
FDNC	FORUM	FOSL	FOST	FRA	OM	ORDER	PEA	PPP	RA
RAP	RAW	RIFS	RMDL	SSESB	STAB	VOMP	5 YEAR		
Unit Descripti	on <u>SITE 8</u>	3 - Scrap Yard							
SPEC	FIC UNIT D	ESCRIPTION:							
Site 8 - Scrap	Yard is located	i south of Patro	l Road. Th	ne site was built	in 1958 for th	e storage of s	alvaged meta	ls before resa	le. The
site is flat, rect	tangular in sha	pe, and approxi	mately 500	feet long and 19	0 feet wide.	The primary	contaminants	of concern (	COCs)
are Arsenic, ca	admium, chron	nium, lead, and	Polychlorin	ated Biphenyls	(PCBs). Som	e materials co	ntaining asbe	estos were als	Ω
observed on th	e site. Concer	trations of thes	e chemicals	in the top 6 incl	nes of soil po	sed potential e	environmenta	l risks.	
DESC	CRIPTION OF	ACTIVITY:							
The Non-Time	Critical Remo	oval Action (NT	CRA) at IR	Site 8 consisted	l of the chara	cterization, ex	cavation, and	l disposal of	
contaminated	soil. The NTC	RA was perform	med betwee	n March 2, 1998	and May 14	1998. Appro	eximately 5.5	00 tons of so	1 were
excavated from	n Site 8. Of th	is total, approxi	imately 210	tons were classi	fied as Califo	rnia state-haz	ardous waste	and 1,200 to	ns of
California stat	e-designated n	onhazardous wa	aste. The re	mainder of the s	oil, approxim	ately 4,090 to	ns, which wa	s not shipped	offsite
was used as pa	rt of the fill fo	r the inert soil c	cell at the N	AF El Centro Si	te 1.				
Remaining W	ork to be Done	e (If any)	NONE					<u>-</u>	
Approximate (	Cost and Fundi	ng Source	More t	han I million do	ilars - DSMC	DA DERA fun	ded		
PROJECT M	IANAGER, Ilman	м 2/24/га	UNIT CH	lan/C. X	Yaila-	BRANC	Henrie		
Send Backup I	Documentation		RAPS / RO	None [ ] DS / RAWS (i		cutive summa	ary)		

If this Report of Completion is for a Removal Action or Certification, see Page 2 on back.

## INFORMATION FOR REMOVAL ACTION / CERTIFICATION REPORT

Volume Remediated (Total) 5,300 TONS	Removed	Treated
Tons of Soil:	5,500 Tons	
Gallons of Liquid:	<u> </u>	
Did the activity include a CAP? (X or Blank)		•
Did the activity include a Fence? (X or Blank)		
Did the activity include the decommissioning of a well? (X or E	Blank)	
Groundwater Remediation Extraction Rate (GPM):		
Cubic Feet per minute of Soil Vapor Extraction:		
No. Acres of Land Returned / Released for reuse: 2½ acres		
Bases on: Cleaned UpX		
Evaluated & Found No Significant Risk_		
C - Commercial [ ] I - Industrial [ ] R- Residenti	ial [x] U - Unknown	[ ]

# REMEDIAL ACTION CERTIFICATION

# Removal Action at IRP Site 8 - Scrap Yard, Naval Air Facility El Centro

l.		ication of Remedial or Removal Action:	
	I here	by certify that the following information is true and co	orrect to the best of my
	know	Aroun Slistam	2/24/2000
	Re	medial Project Manager	Date
	2. X	milan C. Harlon	2/24/00
	Ur	uit Chiles O	Date
	3.	Nhu C	2/25/00
	Jol	hn E. Scandura, Chief	Date
		uthern California Branch	
	Ot	fice of Military Facilities	
2.	Certif	ication Statement:  Based upon the information which is currently and a	ctually known to DTSC.
	_X_	DTSC has determined that all appropriate response a that all acceptable engineering practices were impler removal/remedial action is necessary.	<del>-</del>
		DTSC has determined, based upon a remedial invest characterization that the site poses no significant thre the environment and therefore implementation of rem not necessary.	eat to public health, welfare or
	_	DTSC has determined that all appropriate removal/recompleted and that all acceptable engineering practic however, the site requires ongoing operation and mamonitoring efforts. The site will be deleted from the (1) a trial operation and maintenance period and (2) settlement between DTSC and the responsible partie the site will be placed on DTSC's list of sites undergonitoring of long-term clean-up efforts.	ces were implemented; intenance (O&M) and "active" site list following execution of a formal written s, if appropriate. However,

Site 1	Name and Location: (Street address, County, City and zip code)
N	laval Air Facility El Centro (NAFEC)
16	505 3 <sup>rd</sup> Streeet
Е	l Centro, California 92243-5001
List a	any other names that have been used to identify this site:
Nay	al Auxiliary Landing Field (NALF), Naval Air Station (NAS), Naval Auxiliary Air
Statio	on (NAAS), National Parachute Test Range (NPTR)
B. A	ddress of site if different from above:
boun	ssessor's Parcel Numbers: Not Applicable. Please refer to the attached certified site dary survey.  onsible Parties: (Use extra pages if necessary.)
•	e:U. S. Navy
	Commanding Officer
	•
	Naval Air Facility El Centro
	ess: <u>1605 3<sup>rd</sup> Street</u>
•	El Centro Zip: 92243-5001
-	phone: (760) 337-5220
	ionship to site: such as generator, hauler, etc.
Curre	ent Landowner/Operator U.S. Navy
brief	Description and History of the Site: (Include previous and current uses of site, a description of the cleanup action and concentrations of significant hazardous ances left on site)
appro (COC Some	B - Scrap Yard is located south of Patrol Road. The site was built in 1958 for the ge of salvaged metals before resale. The site is flat, rectangular in shape, and eximately 500 feet long and 190 feet wide. The primary contaminants of concern can are Arsenic, cadmium, chromium, lead, and Polychlorinated Biphenyls (PCBs). In materials containing asbestos were also observed on the site. Concentrations of chemicals in the top 6 inches of soil posed potential environmental risks. The

Removal Action (RA) at IR Site 8 consisted of the characterization, excavation, and disposal of contaminated soil. The RA was performed between March 2, 1998 and May 14, 1998. Approximately 5,500 tons of soil were excavated from Site 8. Of this total, approximately 210 tons were classified as California state-hazardous waste and 1,200 tons of California state-designated nonhazardous waste. The remainder of the soil, approximately 4,090 tons, which was not shipped offsite, was used as part of the fill for the inert soil cell at the NAF El Centro Site 1.

6.	Type of Site: (Check if appropria		
	Included in Bond Expenditure Pla	n?	
	Yes No _X		
	RCRA-Permitted Facility		
	RCRA Facility Closure *NPL	RP-funded	
	Federal Facility X		
	Other (i.e., walk-in):	Explain Briefl	y:
7.	Size of Site: (Based on Expenditus	re Plan definition of size)	
	Small Medium		Extra Large
8.	Dates of Remedial or Removal Ac		J
	A. Initiated March 2, 1998	B. Completed May 14.	1998
	*Per SARA, any NPL site that is a	_ ·	
	follow-up visit after years to veri		
	-	-	•
	_X Removal Action (satisfactor) Final Remedial Action	ory abatement of site)	
		i	
	RCRA enforcement/closur		inn at aite was mandad
	No action, further investig	ation verified that no cleanup act	ion at site was needed.
	A. Type of Remedial or Removal treatment?):	Action (e.g. Excavation and red	isposal, <u>cap</u> , on-site
	Excavation and disposal of contar	ninated soil and backfill with cle	an soil
	B. Estimated quantity of waste ass	ociated with the site (i.e. tons/g	allons/cubic yards)
	which was:	bolated with all one (no., tons, g.	anons, caolo y aras,
	1 treated	Amount:	
	2 untreated	Amount:	
	3. X removed	Amount:5,500	

10.	Clean	up Levels/Standards				
	A.	What were the cleanup standards estremedial action plan (RAP) or work removal action (RA) prior to develous. EPA R9 PRGs for resident	plan (if pment o	cleanup of a RAP)	ccurred as	the result of a
	B.	Were the specified cleanup standard	ls met?	Yes	X	No
	C.	If "no", why not:				
11.	DTSC	Involvement in the Remedial or Ren	noval Ad	ction:		
	A.	Did DTSC order the Remedial or Re	emoval .	Action?		
		Yes No _X_ Date	of orde	r		····
	В.	Did DTSC review and approve (the review/approval if done): Sampling Analysis Procedures				?) (Indicate date of
		Health & Safety Protections	Date_	10	/97	
		Removal/Disposal Procedures	Date_	10	/97	
		Removal Action Plan	Date_	10	/97	
	C.	If site was abated by a responsible p from a licensed professional on all p of statement) Remedial Action Plan	•	f the Rem		ons? (Indicate date
		Design & Construction Specification	ns	Date	10/97	7
		Post Construction		Date	8/99	
	D.	Did a registered engineer or geologi were implemented?  Yes _X No Name _G	_		7.	
		Manager Date 10/97				
_	E.	Did DTSC confirm completion of all Yes X No Date of Ve (i.e. manifest, sampling, demonstrat	erificatio	on <u>9/30/99</u>	)	n of treatment)

F.	Did DTSC (directly or through a contractor) actually perform the Remedial Action?
	Yes No X Name of Contractor:
G.	Was there a community relations plan in place? Yes X No
H.	Was a remedial action plan developed for this site? Yes X_No
I.	Did DTSC hold a public meeting regarding the draft RAP? Yes X_No
J.	Were public comments addressed?  Yes _X No Date of DTSC analysis and response: _No comments
	were received from the public.
K.	Are all of the facts cited above adequately documented in the DTSC files?
	YesX No
	If no, identify areas where documentation is lacking
	12. EPA Involvement in the Remedial or Removal Action:
A.	Was the EPA involved in the site cleanup?  YesNo_X
В.	If yes, did EPA concur with all remedial action?  YesNo
Б. С.	EPA comments
C.	
	EPA staff involved in cleanup:
Age	er Regulatory Agency Involvement in the Cleanup Action: ncy: Activity:  RWQCB Review reports Joan Stormo 760-776-8982
	IWMB Review reports John Clinkenbeard 916-255-3833
	CDFGReview reports Scott Flynt 916-324-4428
X	Other agencies that reviewed the CEQA document:
	Caltrans  Dent of Borles and Bornottion
	Dept. of Parks and Recreation, State Lands Commission,
	Historic Preservation Agency
	Air Pollution Control District (APCD),
	THE COMMON COMMON DISTRICT (IN CO.),
l. <u>Pos</u>	-Closure Activities:
A.	Will there be post-closure activities at this site? (e.g. Operation and Maintenance)

II Ac	es, describe:	
•	e post-closure plans been prepared and	
114	Yes No _X	TF
~		at all areas Constructions and
C. maiı	What is the estimated duration of pontenance) activities?	
D.	Are deed restrictions proposed or in	place? N/A Yes No
	If "yes", have deed restrictions been	recorded with the County Recorder?
	Yes No Date	
	If "no", who is responsible for assur	ing that the deed restrictions are recorded?
		ed Rivera -IRP manager 760-339-2226
	(Name/Phone Number)	
E.	Has cost recovery been initiated?	
		;% of DTSC costs.
F.	Were local planning agencies notifie	ed of the cleanup action? Yes No
	15. Expenditure of Funds and Sc	nirce.
(Infe	ormation to be supplied by Toxic Accou	
	ding Source and amount expended:	
	_ HWCA \$	HSA \$
	HSCF \$	RCRA \$
		RCRA \$ RCRA \$
	_ HSCF \$	
	_ HSCF \$	RCRA \$
	_ HSCF \$	RCRA \$ RCRA \$

#### **NAF EL CENTRO**

# DESCRIPTIONS FOR SITES 3 AND 8 LANDFILL CLOSURES

# In the County of Imperial, State of California, described as follows:

### Site 3-

Beginning at NAF Station Monument NEI 22 (N-1883208.609, E-6736485.139);

Thence N0313'15"E a distance of 2494.47 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence N27°38'01"W a distance of 79.26 feet;

Thence N59°23'21"E a distance of 52.83 feet;

Thence S27°15'41"E a distance of 78.88 feet;

Thence S58°57'07"W a distance of 52.34 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Parcel contains 0.0953 acres more or less.

## Site 8-

Beginning at NAF Station Monument NEI 22 (N-1883208.609, E-6736485.139);

Thence S61°04'12"W a distance of 182.03 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence S01°57'36"E a distance of 203.42 feet;

Thence N89°43'30"W a distance of 490.40 feet;

Thence N00°59'45"E a distance of 199.82 feet;

Thence N89°51'45"E a distance of 479.96 feet to the TRUE POINT OF BEGINNING.

Parcel contains 2.2448 acres more or less.

